

FILED ENTERED
LODGED RECEIVED

SEP 27 2007 LK

AT SEATTLE
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

JUAN TAJALLE,

Plaintiff

vs.

CITY OF SEATTLE, SEATTLE PUBLIC
LIBRARY, OFFICER SAM 8, a.k.a. JOHN
DOE #1, and JOHN DOE #2,

Defendants

No.

C 07-1509 TSZ

COMPLAINT



07-CV-01509-CMP

COME NOW the plaintiff, JUAN TAJALLE, by and through his attorney John Scannell, and seeking damages against the above named defendants: CITY OF SEATTLE, SEATTLE PUBLIC LIBRARY, OFFICER SAM 8, and JOHN DOE #2,

The civil claims include offenses committed under color of law resulting in a deprivation of rights secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States of America.

I. PARTIES, JURISDICTION, VENUE

This action is brought under 42 U.S.C. §1983 (Civil Rights Act of 1871) relating to the plaintiff's exercise of his rights under the Constitution of the United States.

1. The plaintiff is a resident of King County, Washington.
2. The defendant City of Seattle is a Municipal Corporation doing business in King County Washington. The Seattle Public Library is a Department of the City of Seattle.

NO TSZ
IFP

1
2
3
4
5 3. Officer Sam 8 aka John Doe #1 is an employee of the Seattle Public Library. Officer
6 John Doe #2 is an employee of the Seattle Public Library.

7 4. The acts complained of occurred in King County, Washington.

8 5. At all times relevant hereto each of the defendants was acting as an agent of each of
9 the other defendants.

10 6. Jurisdiction is conferred on the court by virtue of 29 U.S.C §1331, §1334, §1343.
11 Venue in this district is appropriate pursuant to Title 28, United States Code, §1391, because the
12 pertinent events took place in this district.

13 II. FACTS

14 7. The plaintiff is a disabled person.

15 8. On or about June 14th, 2006, the plaintiff was in the Seattle Public Library when he
16 observed Officer Sam 8 aka John Doe #1 and John Doe #2 getting in an argument with another
17 library patron. Plaintiff started watching the incident and indicated to the officers by approaching
18 them his disapproval of their treatment of the patron.

19 9. As a result of the plaintiff's action, the two defendants attempted to provoke an
20 altercation with the plaintiff. When the plaintiff protested the officers treatment of him, they
21 summarily, without due process attempted to remove the plaintiff from the premises. Their
22 actions were not because he was creating a disturbance but because of the unfair treatment the
23 officers were exhibiting towards him because of his protests.

24 10. Through threats of force and violence, the two officers attempted to remove the
25 plaintiff from the library. When the officers reached the door, the plaintiff complained that he
26 was disabled and would have a hard time going through the revolving door. The defendants
27 demanded that he go through the door anyway. As a result of trying to go through the door, the
28 plaintiff got trapped in the revolving door and fell.

11. After being trapped, the plaintiff was injured in an amount to be determined at trial.

12. When the plaintiff attempted to get some medicine from his backpack, John Doe #2 kicked away his backpack so he could not get it.

13. Subsequent to that, the library issued an exclusion order to retaliate against the plaintiff for his protesting of the wrongful actions of the defendants.

III. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH AS APPLIED TO THE STATES THROUGH THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT TO THE UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION.

14. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges as if fully set forth herein each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 13.

15. The acts and omissions of the defendants herein were done under color of state law, custom or usage.

16. Plaintiff Juan Tajalle, had a federally-protected right, under the freedom of speech and assembly provisions of the United States Constitution, as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, to indicate through his words and/or non-violent actions that the defendants were unfair in their treatment of both himself and another citizen.

17. The acts and omissions of defendants herein proximately caused the deprivation of the First Amendment rights of plaintiff Juan Tajalle, as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT PROHIBITION AGAINST UNREASONABLE SEIZURES

18. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges as if fully set forth herein each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 17

19. Plaintiff Juan Tajalle was seized for the purposes of the Fourth Amendment to the United States Constitution as applied to the states through the

Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, by the acts and omissions of the defendants.

20. Plaintiff Juan Tajalle, has rights, protected under the Fourth Amendment of the United States Constitution as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, not to be subjected to an unreasonable seizure.

21. The acts and omissions of the defendants herein proximately caused the deprivation of the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights of plaintiff Juan Tajalle

22. As a proximate result of the acts and omissions of the defendants and deprivation of plaintiff's Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights, plaintiff has suffered personal injuries as set forth hereinabove.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF FOURTH AMENDMENT
PROHIBITION AGAINST THE USE OF UNREASONABLE FORCE**

23. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 22, as if fully set forth herein.

24. Plaintiff Juan Tajalle, had a right, under the Fourth amendment of the United States Constitution as applied to the states through the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, not to be subjected to the use of unreasonable force against his person.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT
DUE PROCESS CLAUSE**

25. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 24, as if fully set forth herein.

26. Plaintiff Juan Tajalle has a right, under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, to remain in a public place of his choice.

27. The acts and actions of the defendants herein proximately caused the deprivation of plaintiffs' Fourteenth Amendment rights.

1
2
3
4 28. As a proximate result of the acts and omissions of the defendants and deprivation of
5 plaintiffs' Fourth Amendment rights, plaintiffs have suffered personal injuries as set forth
6 hereinabove.

7
8 **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION: MUNICIPAL LIABILITY OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE**

9 29. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges each and every allegation of paragraphs 1
10 through 28, as if fully set forth herein.

11 30. At all times material to this complaint, defendant City of Seattle, had in effect certain
12 explicit and de facto policies, practices and customs which were applied to the treatment of
13 persons who frequent their public libraries.

14 31. For purposes of the incidents described herein, it was the policy, custom and practice
15 of the defendant City of Seattle to utilize unreasonable force in violation of the First, Fourth, and
16 Fourteenth Amendment rights of the plaintiff, set forth hereinabove.

17 32. It was further the policy of the defendant City of Seattle, to approve, acquiesce,
18 condone and ratify the use of unreasonable force in violation of the First, Fourth and Fourteenth
19 Amendment rights of the plaintiffs in the incidents described hereinabove.

20 33. The policy, practice and custom of approving, acquiescing in condoning and/or
21 ratifying the use of unreasonable force in violation of the First, Fourth and Fourteenth
22 Amendment rights of the plaintiff in the incident described herein was a deliberate choice by
23 defendant City of Seattle through their agents or employees.

24 34. These policies, practices and customs were maintained with deliberate, reckless
25 and/or callous indifference to the constitutional rights of the plaintiffs as set forth hereinabove.

26 35. The above described policies, practices and customs of defendant City of Seattle
27 proximately caused the deprivation of the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendment rights of the
28 plaintiffs, jointly and severally.

1
2
3
4 36. As a proximate result of the above the above described policies, practices and
5 customs of defendant City of Seattle, and as a result of the deprivation of plaintiffs' First, Fourth
6 and Fourteenth Amendment rights, plaintiff has suffered personal injuries as set forth
7 hereinabove.

8 37. At all times material herein, defendant City of Seattle had a duty, under the United
9 States Constitution, to supervise its police officers properly.

10 38. At all times material herein, defendant City of Seattle had a duty, under the Fourth
11 Amendment of the United States Constitution, to adequately train its security guards not to
12 threaten its citizens for exercising their first amendment rights.

13 39. Defendant City of Seattle failed to train properly or supervise properly the Sam 8 aka
14 John Doe #1 and John Doe #2.

15 40. The above-described failures to supervise and to train were maintained with
16 deliberate, reckless and/or callous indifference to the constitutional rights of rights of the plaintiff
17 as set forth hereinabove.

18 41. The above-described failures by defendant City of Seattle to supervise and to
19 adequately train the security guards properly proximately caused the deprivation of the
20 constitutional rights of plaintiff Juan Tajalle as set forth hereinabove.

21 42. The above-described failure by defendant City of Seattle to properly supervise and to
22 adequately train the defendants and the resulting deprivation of plaintiff Juan Tajalle's
23 constitutional rights, caused the plaintiff to suffer personal injuries as set forth hereinabove.

24 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENCE**

25 43. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges each and every allegation of paragraphs 1
26 through 42.

27 44. Defendants had a duty to treat the plaintiff, as a disabled person protected under
28

RCW 49.60, in a manner so that his disabilities would be accommodated and that his safety would not be endangered.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION - RCW 49.60.215 - WRONGFUL EJECTMENT AND EXCLUSION ON THE BASIS OF PHYSICAL DISABILITY

45. Plaintiff hereby incorporates and realleges each and every allegation of paragraphs 1 through 44.

46. Defendants' actions had the effect of denying the plaintiff access to a place of public resort, accommodation, assemblage, or amusement on the basis of his physical disability in violation RCW 49.60.215.

IV. DAMAGES

47. As a result of the actions of the defendants as above alleged, the plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter judgment in his favor

(A) Order defendants to pay to plaintiff compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

(B) Order defendants to pay to plaintiff punitive damages.

(C) Order defendants to pay plaintiffs' reasonable attorney fees, and costs.

(D) Order such other and further relief as the court may deem to be just and proper.

DATED this 19th day of September, 2007.



John Scannell
Attorney for plaintiff